

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/846,141 | 04/30/2001 | | Timothy H. Daily | 4660/5200 | 6038 |
| 757 | 7590 | 07/16/2002 | | | |
| | | LSON & LIONE | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610 | | | | GRAHAM, MATTHEW C | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3683 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002 | > |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty Art Using All Hart | 一、一、一、一、一、一、一、一、一、一、一、一、一、一、一、一、一、一、一、 | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE | Office Action Commission | Application No. ON 814 14) DAILY ET AL | | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE | Uffice Action Summary | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE | The MANIAG DATE of this communication appe | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed the part of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by detartue, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by detartue, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any example a secondance. Status 1)— Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)— This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is inon-final. 3)— Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4a) Of the above, claim(s)———————————————————————————————————— | | and on the dover since with the correspondence address | | | | | |
| after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the pariod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any summed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S | SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | |
| Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) | after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will | unication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi 1, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| 2a] This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) | | | | | | | |
| 3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) | h | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4\ightharpoordance (claim(s) | | • | | | | | |
| Is/are pending in the application. Is/are pending in the application. Is/are withdrawn from consideration. Is/are withdrawn from consideration. Is/are withdrawn from consideration. Is/are allowed. Is/are rejected. Is/are rejected. Is/are objected to. Is/are objected to by the Examiner. Is/are objected to by the | | | | | | | |
| day of the above, claim(s) | | | | | | | |
| Sizer allowed. Sizer rejected. Sizer rejected. Sizer rejected. Sizer rejected. Sizer rejected. Sizer objected to. Sizer objected to Sizer objected Sizer | 4) Claim(s) / | is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| Sizer allowed. Sizer rejected. Sizer rejected. Sizer rejected. Sizer rejected. Sizer rejected. Sizer objected to. Sizer objected to Sizer objected Sizer | 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| claim(s) | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) | SIF Claim(s) (-2) | is/are rejected | | | | | |
| Application Papers 9 | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers 9 | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on | 8) LI Claims | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | Application Papers | | | | | | |
| The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 18) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 16) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13 | 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. | | | | | | |
| 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 18) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 18) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | Priority under 35 H S C § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | • | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19 Notice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18] Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19] Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | • | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18] Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit | y documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Peper No(s) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18] Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 16 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19] Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome | stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18] Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 16 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19] Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | \sim | 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| | . — | 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) . Other: | 20) Other: | | | | | | |

A THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF

1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over François in view of Andersen.

Francois shows a stabilizer bar comprising a fiber-reinforced rod 10 and an arm 13 having a recess securing the rod.

The claimed invention differs from Francois only in the use of two bars.

Andersen shows a stabilizer bar having a rod 72 and arms 78, 90.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,141

Art Unit: 3683

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized two arms in Francois in view of the suggestion to use the torsion tube for an automobile suspension in column 1, lines 2-10 and the suggestion in Andersen that the rod 72 can be made of any suitable material.

Regarding claim 2, the particular orientation and type of the fibers would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a mere matter of choice dependent on the desired spring rate.

Re-claim 3, the term light is relative.

Re-claim 4, bushings 68 are considered clamps to the broad degree claims.

Re-claim 5, the use of plugs would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as an additional securing means and the teaching in both Francois and Andersen to use internal connections.

Re-claim 6, the plugs in Andersen are integral.

Re-claim 7, the use of crimping would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as common method of attachment.

Re-claim 8-14, 17 and 18, note the above discussion of claim 2.

Re-claim 15, Andersen shows a tapered arm in Figure 1A.

Re-claim 16, Francois shows a tubular rod.

Re-claim 19, 20 and 21, the recited method of assembly is inherent in Francois, as modified by Andersen.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,141

Art Unit: 3683

Page 4

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jarvis and Smith show torsion bars.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mr. Graham at telephone number 703-308-1113.

MG/jw

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 310